

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,739	09/18/2001	Pierre Michetti	06132/011002	9451	
21559	7590 03/25/2003				
CLARK & E	· -	EXAMINER			
101 FEDERAL BOSTON, MA			MINNIFIELD, NITA M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645	5	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.					
		09/955,739		MICHETTI ET AL.			
		Examin r		Art Unit			
		N. M. Minnifield		1645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	nal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	14:					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirer	nent.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		ed to by the Exar	niner.			
, —	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicants' preliminary amendment filed September 18, 2001 is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 20-88 have been canceled. Claims 1-19 are now pending in the present application.
- 2. The information disclosure statement filed January 14, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The some prior applications are not available to the examiner; the references are not in the parent application.
- Applicants should update page 1 of the specification.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 09/955,739

Art Unit: 1645

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-17 and 21-37 of U. S. Patent No. 6,290,962 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the issued patent claims and discloses a method of treating gastroduodenal disease (see col. 2) in a mammal comprising administering composition comprising a Helicobacter urease peptide (see abstract; figures; claims; col. 8;). The patent discloses how the composition is administered as well as, mucosal adjuvants and dosage (cols. 9-10).

Claims 1-3, 8-17 and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 and 4-10 of U. S. Patent No. 5,837,240 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are

Art Unit: 1645

claiming common subject matter, as follows: the issued patent claims and discloses a method of treating gastroduodenal disease (see col. 1) in a mammal comprising administering composition comprising a Helicobacter urease peptide (see abstract; claims). The patent discloses how the composition is administered as well as, mucosal adjuvants and dosage (col. 12).

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 8-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Czinn et al (5538729).

Art Unit: 1645

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Czinn et al discloses a method of treating gastroduodenal diseases (gastritis, ulcers, gastric cancer, duodenal ulcer diseases) comprising administering a Helicobacter antigen (abstract; col. 1; claims). Czinn et al discloses oral administration as well as a mucosal adjuvant, cholera toxin/B subunit (col. 2; col. 4). The patent discloses a suitable dosage of 10 micrograms to 10 milligrams (col. 4). It is noted that the claims recite that the composition is a composition comprising Helicobacter urease peptide; this composition could comprising other components as well.

- 7. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) because the applicant did not invent the claimed subject matter. The claimed invention is claimed in the above cited US Patents (5538729). There is no common inventorship.
- 8. No claims are allowed.
- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. M. Minnifield whose telephone number is 703-305-3394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette R.F. Smith can be reached on 703-308-3909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1645

NMM

March 23, 2003